

# Mozilla - CA Program

Case Information			
Case Number	00000070	Case Record Type	CA Owner/Root Inclusion Request
CA Owner/Certificate Name	Example Owner	Request Status	Denied

Additional Case Information	
Subject	Include Example Root
Case Reason	

Bugzilla Information	
Link to Bugzilla Bug	<a href="https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=647959">https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=647959</a>

General information about CA's associated organization			
CA Email Alias 1	alias@example.org		
CA Email Alias 2			
Company Website	NEED: URL to company website	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Organizational Type	Public Corporation	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Organizational Type (Others)	Organization Type pull-down list: - Private Corporation - Public Corporation - Government Agency - Commercial Organization - International Organization - Non-Profit Organization - Academic Institution - Consortium - NGO	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Geographic Focus	NEED: Country or geographic region where CA typically sells certs.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Primary Market / Customer Base	NEED: - Which types of customers does the CA serve? - Are there particular vertical market segments in which it operates? - Does the CA focus its activities on a particular country or other geographic region?	Verified?	Need Response From CA
Impact to Mozilla Users	NEED: Why does the CA need to have their root certificate directly included in Mozilla's products, rather than being signed by another CA's root certificate that is already included in NSS? Mozilla CA certificate policy: We require that all CAs whose certificates are distributed with our software product ... provide some service relevant to typical users of our software products	Verified?	Need Response From CA

## Response to Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices

<b>Recommended Practices</b>	<a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices</a>	<b>Recommended Practices Statement</b>	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Recommended Practices, and confirm that we follow those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
<b>CA's Response to Recommended Practices</b>	NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#CA_Recommended_Practices</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

## Response to Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices

<b>Potentially Problematic Practices</b>	<a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices</a>	<b>Problematic Practices Statement</b>	I have reviewed Mozilla's list of Potentially Problematic Practices, and confirm that we do not do those practices, with exceptions and clarifications noted in the text box below.
<b>CA's Response to Problematic Practices</b>	NEED CA's response to each of the items listed in <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Problematic_Practices#Potentially_problematic_CA_practices</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

## Root Case Record # 1

### Root Case Information

<b>Root Certificate Name</b>	Example Root Cert	<b>Root Case No</b>	R00000098
<b>Request Status</b>	Denied	<b>Case Number</b>	00000070

### Additional Root Case Information

<b>Subject</b>	Include Example Root Cert
----------------	---------------------------

### Technical Information about Root Certificate

<b>O From Issuer Field</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>OU From Issuer Field</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Certificate Summary</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Root Certificate Download URL</b>	NEED: A public URL through which the CA certificate can be directly downloaded.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Valid From</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Valid To</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Certificate Version</b>	3	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Certificate Signature Algorithm</b>	ECC	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Signing Key Parameters</b>	ECC P-384	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Test Website URL (SSL) or Example Cert</b>	NEED: - If requesting Websites trust bit: URL to a website whose SSL cert chains up to this root. Note that this can be a test site. - If requesting Email trust bit: attach	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

an example cert to the bug

<b>CRL URL(s)</b>	NEED CRL URLs and CRL issuing frequency for subscriber certs, with reference to where this is documented in the CP/CPS	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>OCSP URL(s)</b>	NEED OCSP URL and maximum OCSP expiration time, with reference to where this is documented in the CP/CPS	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Revocation Tested</b>	NEED: Test with <a href="http://certificate.revocationcheck.com/">http://certificate.revocationcheck.com/</a> make sure there aren't any errors.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Trust Bits</b>	Email; Websites	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>SSL Validation Type</b>	DV; OV; EV	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>EV Policy OID(s)</b>	1.3.6.1.4.1.13769.9.1	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>EV Tested</b>	NEED: If EV treatment is being requested, then provide successful output from EV Testing as described here <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_Easy_Version">https://wiki.mozilla.org/PSM:EV_Testing_Easy_Version</a>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Root Stores Included In</b>	Adobe; Apple; Google; Microsoft; Mozilla; Opera	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Mozilla Applied Constraints</b>	NEED: Mozilla has the ability to name constrain root certs; e.g. to *.gov or *.mil. CAs should consider if such constraints may be applied to their root certs.	Verified?	Need Response From CA

### Digital Fingerprint Information

<b>SHA-1 Fingerprint</b>	F9:7E:62:42:4E:38:79:96:CA:87:71:2A:F8:51:38:C8:16:92:5C:A7	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>SHA-256 Fingerprint</b>	12:CB:98:6C:FB:27:8C:A2:30:C6:54:C5:B4:AA:02:0C:61:C5:07:FB:FD:4C:C5:E3:45:77:E4:83:EC:68:43:C9	Verified?	Need Response From CA

### CA Hierarchy Information

<b>CA Hierarchy</b>	NEED: A description of the PKI hierarchy rooted at or otherwise associated with this root CA certificate. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List and/or describe all of the subordinate CAs that are signed by this root.</li> <li>- Identify which of the subordinate CAs are internally-operated; e.g. list the subordinate CAs that operated by the CA organization associated with the root CA. For example, this might include subordinate CAs created to issue different classes or types of end entity certificates to the general public: Class 1 vs. class 2 certificates, qualified vs. non-qualified certificates, EV certificates vs. non-EV certificates, SSL certificates vs. email certificates, and so on.</li> <li>- It might also include subordinate CAs operated for the benefit of specific third parties. In this case note that we do not require that the CA submit a complete customer list; rather we are interested in the general type and nature of the third-party arrangements</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Externally Operated SubCAs</b>	NEED: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- If this root has any subordinate CA certificates that are operated by external third parties, then provide the information listed in the Subordinate CA Checklist, <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:SubordinateCA_checklist</a></li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA

- If the CA functions as a super CA such their CA policies and auditing don't apply to the subordinate CAs, then those CAs must apply for inclusion themselves as separate trust anchors.

<b>Cross Signing</b>	<p>NEED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List all other root certificates for which this root certificate has issued cross-signing certificates.</li> <li>- List all other root certificates that have issued cross-signing certificates for this root certificate.</li> <li>- If any such cross-signing relationships exist, it is important to note whether the cross-signing CAs' certificates are already included in the Mozilla root store or not.</li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Technical Constraint on 3rd party Issuer</b>	<p>NEED: CP/CPS documentation describing the technical and contractual controls over any 3rd party who may issue certs in this CA Hierarchy. This includes external RAs as well as External subCAs.</p> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- section 7.1.5 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements</li> <li>- <a href="https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/">https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/about/governance/policies/security-group/certs/policy/inclusion/</a></li> <li>- <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:CertificatePolicyV2.1#Frequently_Asked_Questions</a></li> </ul>	Verified?	Need Response From CA

## Verification Policies and Practices

<b>Policy Documentation</b>	NEED: Languages that the CP/CPS and other documents are provided in.	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>CA Document Repository</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CP</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>CP Doc Language</b>			
<b>CPS</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Other Relevant Documents</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Auditor Name</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Auditor Website</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Auditor Qualifications</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Standard Audit</b>	NEED: for all root inclusion/change requests. Reference section 2 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Standard Audit Type</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>Standard Audit Statement Date</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>BR Audit</b>	NEED: If requesting Websites trust bit, then also need a BR audit as described here: <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements</a>	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>BR Audit Type</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>BR Audit Statement Date</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>EV Audit</b>	NEED only if requesting EV treatment	Verified?	Need Response From CA
<b>EV Audit Type</b>		Verified?	Need Response From CA

<b>EV Audit Statement Date</b>		<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>BR Commitment to Comply</b>	NEED section in the CP/CPS that has the commitment to comply with the BRs as described in section 2.2 of version 1.3 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>SSL Verification Procedures</b>	NEED if Websites trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the domain name to be included in the SSL/TLS cert. As per section 3 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:BaselineRequirements#CA_Conformance_to_the_BRs</a> It is not sufficient to simply reference section 11 of the CA/Browser Forum's Baseline Requirements (BR). BR #11.1.1 lists several ways in which the CA may confirm that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name to be included in the certificate. Simply referencing section 11 of the BRs does not specify which of those options the CA uses, and is insufficient for describing how the CA conforms to the BRs. The CA's CP/CPS must include a reasonable description of the ways the CA can verify that the certificate subscriber owns/controls the domain name(s) to be included in the certificate.  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Domain_Name_Ownership</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>EV SSL Verification Procedures</b>	NEED: If EV verification is performed, then provide URLs and section/page number information pointing directly to the sections of the CP/CPS documents that pertain to EV and describe the procedures for verifying the ownership/control of the domain name, and the verification of identity, existence, and authority of the organization to request the EV certificate.  The EV verification documentation must meet the requirements of the CA/Browser Forum's EV Guidelines, and must also provide information specific to the CA's operations.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Organization Verification Procedures</b>	NEED: CP/CPS sections that describe identity and organization verification procedures for cert issuance.	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Email Address Verification Procedures</b>	NEED if Email trust bit requested... Sections of CP/CPS that sufficiently describe the verification steps that are taken to confirm the ownership/control of the email address to be included in the cert. As per section 4 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>  <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Recommended_Practices#Verifying_Email_Address_Control</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Code Signing Subscriber Verification Pro</b>	Mozilla is no longer accepting requests to enable the Code Signing trust bit, because we plan to remove the Code Signing trust bit in the next version of Mozilla's CA Certificate Policy.	<b>Verified?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Multi-Factor Authentication</b>	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 6 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
<b>Network Security</b>	NEED CA response (and corresponding CP/CPS sections/text) to section 7 of <a href="https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices">https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Information_checklist#Verification_Policies_and_Practices</a>	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA

### Link to Publicly Disclosed and Audited subordinate CA Certificates

<b>Publicly Disclosed &amp; Audited subCAs</b>	NEED URL to publicly disclosed subordinate CA certificates that chain up to certificates in Mozilla's CA program, as per Items #8, 9, and 10 of Mozilla's CA	<b>Verified?</b>	Need Response From CA
--	--	------------------	-----------------------

